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Summary
Lithuania among the middle-income countries is experiencing the brain drain situation when high-educated professionals, including health care specialists, migrate to high-income countries in search for better economic, social and cultural life. The objective of the study was to identify the potential reasons for migration from the perspective of pharmacy students that might come into consideration after they graduate university. A convenience sample (n = 196) of pharmacy students participated in the cross-sectional survey where they gave opinion on why people emigrate from Lithuania, also expressed personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales. The nonlinear Robust Path Analysis approach was employed for data analysis. The results revealed that high unemployment conceived as a reason to emigrate from Lithuania, as well as personal attitude towards emigration, as well as intention to emigrate after the studies on self-report scales.

Introduction
After the labour market of the European Union became open to migrants from the new EU countries, a significant increase in migration flows from middle-income countries was observed. Lithuania is named as one of the EU “sending countries”[1] with young citizens being among the most mobile persons: every second migrant from Lithuania was 20-34 years old during 2012-2015 [2].

The major disadvantage of international migration is that low- and middle-income countries get into the brain drain situation. They act as donors of high-educated people to the wealthier states and experience social and fiscal losses that hinder their growth [3-5]. The European Commission estimates a potential shortfall of around 1 million healthcare workers by 2020 among which 150.000 of dentists, pharmacists and physiotherapists not covering 13.5% of health care [6]. While the phenomenon may seem to benefit individual, it may catalyse social inequality [7] and hamper the provision of adequate health care in the donor nations [8]. Brain drain is also determined by the hegemonic advantage of the high-developed countries that set standards for study quality: the developing countries trying to adapt their curricula to the standards of the high-developed states simultaneously produce workforce for the wealthier states [9].

Multiple theories have been developed to explain the phenomenon of migration [10-12] and a number of factors have been discovered that push or pull people to move abroad [3,13-18].

The aim of the study was to re-examine which of the reasons for emigration that were identified in previous theoretical and empirical studies significantly affected pharmacy students’ personal attitude towards emigration and intent to emigrate from Lithuania. To reach the goal, two main
objectives were formulated: (a) to analyse pharmacy stu-
dents’ opinions towards the reasons for emigration and (b)
to assess which of the conceived reasons for emigration are
significantly related with personal attitude towards emigra-
tion and intention to emigrate after the studies.

Methods
At the initial stage of the questionnaire development
process ten pharmacy students were invited to participate
in a focus-group discussion conducted in February, 2013
[19]. Based on the results of the focus-group research, as
well as analysis of scientific literature [3,13-18] a question-
naire was developed to evaluate students’ opinions about the
main reasons for emigration, and to estimate their personal
attitude towards emigration and intent to leave the country
after the studies.

The survey was conducted in 2014. The population con-
sisted of 487 pharmacy students studying at the Lithuanian
University of Health Sciences – the only higher school that
educated pharmacists in Lithuania. The convenience sam-
ping method was used to reach the goals of the study. During
the research, a total of 250 questionnaires were distributed
to the students and 206 of them were received (82.4% re-
sponse rate). 10 questionnaires (4.9%) with missing answers
were excluded from further analysis. After exclusion, a to-
al of 196 questionnaires were selected for data analysis.
Three quarters (74.0%) of the respondents were females.
One third (31.6%) of the students reported that some of
their family members were living abroad and 39.7 percent
of the respondents had gained some work experience abroad
themselves. Among the students who intended to emigrate,
19.2% planned to leave the country for less than two years,
57.7% of them planned to emigrate for more than 2 years,
and 23.1% planned to stay abroad permanently. All the stu-
dents who had plans to emigrate were going to work as
pharmacists abroad. The socio-demographic variables were
also included in the path analysis to control the effects of
gender, study year, provenance, previous work experience
gained abroad, and country of destination in the final model.

The data were analysed using the Robust path analysis
method [20]. The method is understood as a „pure“ path
analysis, where all latent variables are measured through one
single formative indicator“ [21:118] as was our case. The
validity of the data was estimated with the Stone–Geisser
Q-squared coefficients, as well as block and full collinearity
variance inflation factors. The degree of vertical collinearity
was assessed by calculating block variance inflation factors
for each variable with two or more predictors. Full collinea-
arity variance inflation factors were calculated simultaneou-
ly for all the variables to assess for lateral collinearity in the
model [22].

Non-linear multivariate statistical analysis software
WarpPLS 5.0 [23] was used for data analysis. The software
allowed us to conduct the Robust path analysis whereby P
values were calculated through the jackknife resampling tech-
nique. Direct and indirect coefficients of association were
calculated together with their respective P-values, as well as
Cohen's f-squared effect sizes. In addition, an exploratory
nonlinear analysis was conducted to investigate the possi-
bility that the relationships among the variables conformed
to noncyclical nonlinear functions [20,23].

To test the model for mediations, an algorithm described
by Hair et al. was applied. The type of the mediation was
identified by estimating the size of indirect effect in relation
to the total effect, i.e., the proportion of variance accounted
for (VAF) was calculated [24].

Results
Respondents were asked to express their personal attitude
towards emigration and intention to emigrate after the stu-
dies. A half of the students (52%) expressed a positive atti-
dute towards emigration, while one third (32.1%) of respon-
dents saw emigration as a negative phenomenon (Table 1).

Despite the majority of the respondents viewed emigra-
tion positively, only 13.3% had plans to leave the country
after their studies. The students were also asked to express
their opinion on the reasons for emigration from Lithuania
(Table 1). The majority of the students thought that people
emigrate due to low wages and better job perspectives abroad
(mode=5). Among the reasons that, in students’ opinion,
promote emigration least were low-quality health care, in-
sufficient leisure and entertainment options, and unfriendly
physical environment (mode=2).

Further step of data analysis was to test which of the
conceived Reasons for Emigration (RE) significantly affected
students’ Personal Attitude towards Emigration (PAtE) and
Intention to Emigrate (ItE). To reach the goal, a model was
created with all exogenous variables of RE pointing towards
the endogenous variables of PAtE and ItE. Also the socio-
demographic data were included as control variables on PAtE
and ItE. Further, all non-significant paths were removed
stepwise backwards and the final model with significant
paths was tested for validity again (Figure 1).

The Stone–Geisser Q-squared coefficient values for
both PAtE ($Q^2=0.37$) and ItE ($Q^2=0.21$) showed sufficient
predictive validity of the model. Block variance inflation
factors and full collinearity inflation factors of all the indi-
cators were below the threshold of 3.3 [22]. It allowed us
to conclude that the model was free from both vertical and
lateral collinearity and the results of our analysis were not
biased by the model-wide multicollinearity.

Exploratory non-linear analysis was conducted to in-
Table 1. Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATE: How do you personally view the phenomenon of emigration from Lithuania?</th>
<th>Positively (%)</th>
<th>Negatively (%)</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE: Which, of the listed below, in your opinion, are the main reasons for emigration from Lithuania?</td>
<td>Agree (%)</td>
<td>Disagree (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE1: Low wages</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE12: Better job opportunities abroad</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE6: High unemployment rate</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE2: Heavy taxes</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE5: Poor socio-economic status of the family</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE3: High product prices</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE11: Low opportunities to start a business</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE13: Family or friends living abroad</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE4: Poor living conditions</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE10: Low-quality education</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE7: Social and physical insecurity</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE15: Adverse cultural environment</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE9: Low-quality health care</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE14: Insufficient leisure and entertainment options</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE8: Unfriendly physical environment (climate, pollution, etc.)</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ItE: Do you plan to emigrate after the studies?</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WExp: Have you ever worked abroad?</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the path analysis (Fig. 1) showed that Intention to Emigrate was moderately affected by Personal Attitude towards Emigration (Fig. 2). Among the conceived Reasons for Emigration, four of them were significantly associated with either Personal Attitude towards Emigration or Intent to Emigrate (Fig. 3).

Among the socio-demographic characteristics, Previous Work Experience Abroad had small significant direct effects on both Personal Attitude towards Emigration and Intention to Emigrate (Fig. 4).

The variables that directly affected Personal Attitude towards Emigration also showed indirect effects on Intention to Emigrate. Yet the effects appeared to be too small to be considered as relevant ones. The variable of Work Experience had not only a significant direct effect on respondents’ Intention to Emigrate, but the effect also appeared to be partially mediated by the Personal Attitude towards Emigration (VAF=32%; f²=0.08).

Since we controlled for the effects of the sociodemographic variables with respect to PATE and ItE, we can say that the findings summarized above hold regardless of variations in those variables [20], because all the paths remained significant after the control variables were included in the model.

Discussion

Scientific literature on migration finds migrant friends and/or relatives to be a strong pulling force for people to emigrate [25-27]. The results of our study revealed that students who either strongly agreed or disagreed with the statement viewed emigration as an attractive phenomenon (RE13 on PATE; Figure 1), while respondents who had no opinion on the question expressed rather neutral attitude towards emigration. The non-linear relationship probably might be explained with the theory of intergenerational relationships [18]: the students who had intimate-but-distant relationships with their families imagined migration as living apart from migrant relatives and, on the other side, respondents who had tight-knit relationships with their families saw emigration as an opportunity to join migrant friends or relatives. However, the hypothesis has to be tested in future research.

Some studies found that high skilled professionals, including pharmacists [27], the so-called “creative class” [28] migrate for lifestyle reasons to satisfy increased needs in the global world [15,29,30]. Our study revealed that young people who were fully satisfied with the current range of leisure and entertainment services (RE14 on PATE; Figure 1) had a slightly negative personal attitude towards emigration. However, the attractiveness of emigration started increasing with increase in agreement that people emigrate in seek for more entertaining life. Higher accessibility in
quality and quantity of entertainment services for students and, consequently, for future professionals may be seen as an effective means to reduce attractiveness of emigration.

Belief that people leave Lithuania due to adverse cultural environment (RE15 on PAte; Figure 1) was found to have a linear impact on personal perception of emigration. Students who did not feel being part of the current culture, felt not socialized enough saw emigration as a way of changing cultural environment. Similarly, negative attitudes towards the home country environment were found to be associated with intentions to emigrate mostly for long term among the final year pharmacy students from nine countries [31].

Respondents with previous work experience abroad (WExp) saw emigration as a positive phenomenon, but expressed rather neutral propensity to emigrate (Figure 2). Meanwhile, the students without work experience gained abroad expressed neutral opinion on emigration and were less likely to emigrate. Similarly, a study conducted by Wuliji, et al. [31] found that final year pharmacy students with past pharmacy experience abroad expressed intentions to emigrate for mostly long term.

Personal attitude towards emigration appeared to have the strongest effect on students’ intention to leave the country after the studies (Figures 1 and 3): the more negative personal attitude towards emigration they had, the lower propensity for emigration was expressed. The result offers an insight that positive perception of emigration does not necessarily result in backpacking. Rather, the variable of Personal Attitude towards Emigration appeared to be the variable that predicted intention not to emigrate. Probably the so called “stick factors” or barriers for emigration played role in the relationship that should be explored in future research.

Belief that people emigrate from Lithuania due to high

---

**Fig. 1.** The final model of the relationships among the opinions about the Reasons for Emigration, Work Experience gained Abroad, Personal Attitude towards Emigration, and Intention to Emigrate.

**Fig. 2.** Relationship between Personal Attitude towards Emigration and Intention to Emigrate.

**Fig. 3.** Relationships between Reasons for Emigration and Personal Attitude towards Emigration, as well as Intention to Emigrate.

**Fig. 4.** Relationships between Work Experience abroad (WExp) and Personal Attitude towards Emigration (PAte), as well as Intention to Emigrate (ItE).
unemployment was the only reason for emigration that was positively linked with emigration plans. Respondents who did not conceive high unemployment (RE6 on ItE; Figure 1) as a reason for emigration expressed comparatively low intentions to emigrate. Meanwhile, the more students recognized that high unemployment pushes people abroad, the more they were likely to consider emigration as a possible outcome. The finding is consistent with the results from multiple studies that found high unemployment as one of the main causes of emigration from Lithuania [15,17,32]. Consistently, the proportion of students who intended to emigrate from Lithuania was smaller as compared to pharmacists, where more than one quarter expressed wishes to work in other EU countries in 2004 [25]. The result means that despite the fact that migration flow rates had remained high, the absolute majority of respondents tended to start their professional career in Lithuania. However, we should keep in mind that more than a half of the respondents saw emigration as a positive phenomenon and, as the path analysis showed, would consider leaving the country in case of unemployment.

The implications following from the results are that reduction of unemployment is to be among the main tools to reduce pharmaceutical brain drain. Nevertheless, as Kazlauskiene and Rinkevicius state, even significant improvement of socioeconomic and professional conditions in Lithuania will not stop the brain drain as long as the quality of life stays relatively higher in foreign countries [33]. Scarcity of high quality leisure and entertainment options for the educated youth, adverse cultural environment were found to exist as potential risks that would make emigration more inviting and, if not coped with, have potential to be included among the main reasons for emigration in the near future. Local and national governments, therefore, should focus not only on employment policies, but also invest in social, cultural and human capital in order to reduce the attractiveness of emigration and keep the qualified youth in Lithuania. Next, we should keep in mind that only 17% in the variance of the variable of Personal attitude towards emigration and 33% in the variance of the variable of Intent to Emigrate were explicable under the model (Figure 1). It means that the list of the common reasons for emigration that were re-examined in our study is not sufficient enough to fully grasp what makes emigration attractive to pharmacy students. Additional theoretical and/or empirical studies should be conducted to explore additional intrinsic or extrinsic factors different from those examined in our study.

Conclusion

Although, Lithuanian pharmacy students viewed the phenomenon of emigration positively, they were rather unenthu-
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